THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF ARIZONA





DALE L. SCHULTZ, CHAIRMAN DAVID M. PARKER, MEMBER MICHAEL G. SANDERS, MEMBER JOSEPH M. HENNELLY, JR., MEMBER CLINT BOLICK, MEMBER P.O. Box 19070 Phoenix, Arizona 85005-9070 ANDREW F. WADE, CHIEF COUNSEL PHONE: (602) 542-5781 FAX: (602) 542-6783

LAURA L. MCGRORY, DIRECTOR

June 3, 2015

The Honorable Michael A. Mosesso ALJ Division Industrial Commission of Arizona 800 W. Washington Street, 4th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re:

ADOSH vs. ARIZONA STATE FORESTRY DIVISION

Inspection No: L3419-317242683

Dear Judge Mosesso:

Enclosed for filing please find the signed settlement agreement. A proposed Findings and Order will be submitted shortly.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Very truly yours,

Valli Hove

Valli Goss

Attorney for ADOSH

Enclosure

c: David Selden, Esq.(by mail and email)
Joy Hernbrode, Esq. (by mail and email)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

of the following citations on December 5, 2013.

Citation 1, Item 1 was issued for an alleged Willful Serious violation of A.R.S. § 23-403 (A). Citation 1, Items 1(a) through (d) had an assessed penalty of \$70,000.00.

Citation 1, Item 1 was also issued with an additional penalty under A.R.S. § 23-418.01 for each employee that died, in the total amount of \$475,000.00.

Citation 2, Item 1 was issued for an alleged Serious violation of A.R.S. § 23-403(A). Citation 2, Items 1(a) through (c) had an assessed penalty of \$7,000.00.

Citation 2, Item 2 was issued for an alleged Serious violation of A.R.S. § 23-403(A). Citation 2, Items 2(a) through (b), had an assessed penalty of \$7,000.00.

WHEREAS, Respondent filed a timely notice of contest on December 19, 2013, with respect to the above-referenced citations, and ADOSH and Respondent now desire to settle this matter without the necessity and uncertainty of a formal hearing. Both parties agree that it is in their respective best interests and the best interests of the State of Arizona to settle this matter without going through the procedures, as well as, time and expense associated with litigating through a formal hearing.

WHEREAS, a dispute exists between the parties regarding the Citations, as ASFD strongly denies that there is any validity to the above-referenced Citations and strongly asserts that it has complied with all OSHA standards, that all of its actions to plan, coordinate and execute efforts to contain the Fire equaled or exceeded all industry practices for wildland firefighting, and that ADOSH's allegations are groundless.

WHEREAS there have been no hearings or adjudicated findings of fact or conclusions of law adjudicating whether there is any validity to any of the allegations in the Citation.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree as follows:

This Agreement shall not be deemed as an admission of any matter and Respondent does not admit that it violated any of the standards cited.

- 2. Information developed by ADOSH in the course of ADOSH's inspection or investigation in this case is not admissible in any court or before any administrative body except as provided in A.R.S. § 23-408(E) and this paragraph. ADOSH may waive its rights and privileges under A.R.S. § 23-408(E) in any criminal proceeding, in any case or proceeding in which the Industrial Commission of Arizona ("Commission") or State of Arizona is a party or potential party, and in any case or proceeding, the outcome of which, may affect the operations of the Commission.
- 3. Willful Serious Citation 1, Item 1, the additional penalties under A.R.S. § 23-418.01, and Serious Citation 2, Items 1 and 2 shall be amended as follows:

Citation 1, Item 1 Type of Violation: Unclassified

A.R.S. § 23-403: The employer did not furnish to each of its employees employment and a place of employment which were free from recognized hazards that were causing or likely to cause death or serious physical harm, in that firefighters were exposed to the risk of smoke, fire, serious injury or death.

- a. On June 30, 2013, personnel assigned to the Yarnell Hill Fire and under the management of the Arizona State Forestry Division failed to reevaluate, re-prioritize and update fire suppression strategies and plans after fire behavior and weather conditions dramatically changed, which resulted in firefighter exposure to serious hazards resulting from a rapidly progressing, wind-driven wildland fire.
- 4. In lieu of payment of the assessed penalties to ADOSH, Respondent agrees that it will provide enhanced safety training for incident command management, additional fire suppression activities, and improved wildland firefighter safety, and agrees to pay to the dependents of each of the 19 firefighters who experienced fatal injuries the aggregate sum of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) for each decedent, unless waived as described herein. With respect to the twelve decedents of the named Plaintiffs in *Ashcraft et al. v. State of Arizona, et al.*, U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona, Cause No. CV-14-02308, this obligation shall be satisfied upon the execution of a waiver by each

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Plaintiff in that case. With respect to each of the remaining seven decedents, this payment obligation shall be satisfied upon payment to the spouse; or if no spouse, to the children pro rata; or if no children, to the parents; the aggregate amount of such payments shall not exceed \$70,000.00. This Settlement Agreement shall not be deemed an admission by Respondent of the existence of or merit to any of the elements, alleged grounds for, or statutory requirements for the imposition of any penalty under A.R.S. § 23-418.01.

- 5. Respondent represents as a material fact leading to the Settlement Agreement that, without admitting that Respondent violated any OSHA standards in the suppression of the Yarnell Hill Fire, Respondent is in compliance with A.R.S. § 23-403 as of the date of this settlement.
- 6. The parties agree that Respondent, by this Settlement Agreement, moves to withdraw its Notice of Contest regarding Inspection No. L3419-317242683. This withdrawal is conditioned on the presiding Administrative Law Judge, pursuant to A.A.C. R20-5-828 of the Occupational Safety and Health Rules of Procedure before the Industrial Commission of Arizona, waiving the legal effect of A.A.C. R20-5-817.
- 7. Each party agrees to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs. The parties also agree that ADOSH is not responsible for any portion of mediator Chris Skelly's fees.
- 8. This Settlement Agreement is a full and final determination of this matter and alleviates any need for and constitutes a waiver of the right to hearing or alternative determination on the merits of the citations, classifications, and stated penalties.
- 9. The parties covenant and agree that this is a settlement of a disputed matter, that the citation, classification, notification of penalty, this Settlement Agreement and/or the Administrative Law Judge's final Order, individually and/or collectively, is not an admission by ASFD nor any of its employees, that it or they violated, knowingly or otherwise, the requirements of A.R.S. § 23-403 or any standard or regulation adopted pursuant to A.R.S. § 23-410 or § 23-414 or any provision of the article enumerated and

A.R.S. § 23-401, et al., nor is it an admission by ASFD, nor any of its employees, that any of the matters alleged in the citations issued as a result of Inspection No. L3419-317242683 existed, nor were it or they a cause or proximate cause of any injuries or fatalities.

10. This Settlement Agreement is in furtherance of the purpose of industrial safety and the Arizona Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1972 and represents a full and final determination of this matter.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this ______day of June, 2015.

THE CAVANAGH LAW FIRM, P.A.

David A Selder

Julie A. Pace

Justin V. Niedzialek

Attorneys for Respondent

DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH OF THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF ARIZONA

By:

Valli Goss

Attorneys for Complainant